96-12-2b.txt 12/2/1996

Montana Public Service Commission 1701 Prospect Avenue PO Box 202601 Helena MT 59620-2601

For more information, contact: Martin Jacobson - 406-444-6178

December 2, 1996

PSC INVITES PUBLIC COMMENT ON PROPOSED EXTENDED AREA PHONE SERVICE

The Public Service Commission will conduct public hearings in Missoula, Stevensville and Hamilton

on December 9 and 10 to hear comments on US West Communications' proposals for telephone extended

area service between the existing Missoula calling area, Stevensville, Victor, Hamilton and Darby. Extended

area service expands local calling areas so that customers' phone calls within an EAS region will be local, not

long-distance. However, local phone rates in an EAS region must increase to recover EAS costs. US West

has proposed three rate alternatives under which EAS, if approved by the PSC, could be provided to include

Missoula, Stevensville, Victor, Hamilton and Darby in one local calling area.

The hearings will be held at the following times and locations:

Hamilton - Monday, December 9, at 3 p.m., city hall ballroom.

Stevensville - Monday, December 9, at 7 p.m., Stevensville High School study hall.

Missoula - Tuesday, December 10, at 7 p.m. in the Sentinel High School library, 901 South Avenue
West.

US West has proposed three rate options for EAS in the Missoula region. If the PSC approves EAS,

it must choose one of the options, or develop an alternative.

Option 1 - Increase local phone rates by \$2.62 per month in all exchanges in the state where EAS is implemented.

Option 2 - Increase local rates based on the total number of lines in the EAS region. Generally, the

more lines in a region, the greater the rate increase. Under this option, Missoula, Frenchtown, Stevensville,

Victor, Hamilton and Darby customers' local phone bills would increase by \$3.22 per month.

Option 3 - Increase local rates in indivdual exchanges based on the number of additional lines EAS

makes available for local calling from those exchanges. Under the four alternatives of this option, the rate

increase for Missoula customers would be smaller than the rate increases for the outlying exchange $\frac{1}{2}$

customers. The four alternatives are:

a) a monthly increase of \$2.36 for Missoula customers and \$4 for Stevensville, Victor,

Hamilton and Darby;

b) a monthly increase of \$2 for Missoula customers and \$5.65 for Stevensville, Victor,

Hamilton and Darby;

- more - c) a monthly increase of \$1.50 for Missoula customers and \$7.93 for Stevensville, Victor,

Hamilton and Darby;

d) a monthly increase of \$1 for Missoula customers and \$10.19 for Stevensville, Victor,

96-12-2b.txt 12/2/1996

Hamilton and Darby.

According to PSC member Bob Rowe, last fall the PSC wrote new EAS rules, based on extensive technical and public comment. Now the Commission is considering a series of specific proposals by US West for EAS

within seven major regions of the state served by US West, and also for West Glacier (served by US West) and

the rest of Flathead County (served by PTI).

Rowe explained, "Most parts of Montana have 'hub and spoke' calling patterns. Generally, EAS is

supported by customers in more rural areas (the spokes) who must make many long distance calls into nearby

urban areas (the hubs). Most opponents of EAS are from the urban hubs, who make fewer calls to the rural

spokes, and are often less willing to have local phone rates increase to pay for EAS.

"The demand for EAS is customer-driven. The Commission's challenge is to balance the interests of all

customers in a way which produces the best result for the whole community."

PSC member Dave Fisher said, "The bottom line in this docket is whether or not ratepayers are willing

to pay a higher basic rate to replace the lost revenue associated with EAS. I encourage the public to take this

opportunity to let the commission know their opinions."

###